



July 28, 2014

Board of Trustees
Fay School
48 Main Street
Southborough, MA 01772

Re: Advisability of WiFi in schools

Dear Sirs/Madams:

This is concerning potential adverse health effects associated with exposure to radiofrequency/microwave (RF/MW) radiation, specifically that from wireless routers and wireless computers. I am writing to express concern that students at your school are experiencing electrosensitivity symptoms from these technologies.

I am a public health physician who has been involved in issues related to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) for several decades. I served as the Executive Secretary for the New York Powerline Project in the 1980s, a program of research that showed that children living in homes with elevated magnetic fields coming from powerlines suffered from an elevated risk of developing leukemia. I served as Director of the Wadsworth Laboratory of the New York State Department of Health, as well as Dean of the School of Public Health at the University at Albany/SUNY. I have edited two books on effects of EMFs, ranging from low frequency fields to radiofrequency/ microwave radiation, or the kind emitted by WiFi routers, cell phones, neighborhood antennas and wireless computer equipment. I served as the co-editor of the BioInitiative Report 2012 (Bioinitiative.org), a comprehensive review of the literature showing biological effects at non-thermal levels of exposure, much of which has since been published in the peer-reviewed journal, *Pathophysiology* (attached). Also, I served on the President's Cancer Panel that examined radiation exposures as they relate to cancer risk, in 2009, and a report from that testimony is also attached. Thus, this is a subject which I know well, and one on which I take a public health approach rooted in the fundamental principle of the need to protect against risk of disease, even when one may not have all the information that would be desirable.

There is clear and strong evidence that intensive use of cell phones increases the risk of brain cancer, tumors of the auditory nerve and cancer of the parotid gland, the salivary gland in the cheek by the ear. The evidence for this conclusion is detailed in the attached publications. The WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer has also classified the radiation from both cell phones and WiFi as a Class 2B "Possible Carcinogen" (2011). WiFi uses similar radio-frequency radiation as cell phones (in the 1.8 to 5.0 GHz range). The difference between a cell phone and a WiFi environment, however, is that while the cell phone is used only intermittently, and at higher power, a WiFi environment is continuous, and transmitting even when not being used. In addition, WiFi transmitters are indoors, where people (and in this case, children) may be very close by, or certainly close to devices using the WiFi, such as wireless computers, iPads and smart boards, the radiation from which can be intolerable to sensitive people.

Furthermore, commercial routers, like those in schools, operate at much higher wattage than consumer routers. They are designed to penetrate through materials like cement, wood and brick, to handle dozens to hundreds of users, and to reach into outdoor areas, so industrial grade routers are of much greater concern.

An additional consideration to appreciate is that it is not only the power of wireless radiation that causes biological dysregulation, but the frequencies, pulsing, amplitude, and the quantity and kind of information being transmitted that can have effects as well. These 'non-thermal effects' have been shown in thousands of studies to be biologically active, and may be more important than the effects from the power. Thus, while a router may be in the ceiling, or not right next to a student, teacher or administrator, the known biological and health effects, particularly the non-thermal ones, are still very much occurring.

Finally, while acute electrosensitivity symptoms, like the ones I understand your students are experiencing, are of course of great concern (such as cognitive effects impairing attention, memory, energy levels, and concentration; cardiac irregularities, including in children; or, headaches or other symptoms in students wearing braces), the full effects for society from chronic and cumulative exposures are not known at this time. Given what we do know, however, including the DNA effects, I must, as a public health physician, advise minimizing these exposures as much as possible. Indications are that cell phones and wireless technologies may turn out to be a serious public health issue, comparable to tobacco, asbestos, DDT, PCBs, pesticides and lead paint, or possibly worse given the ubiquitous nature of the exposures. While unfortunately we must wait for federal regulation to catch up with the science, the prudent thing to do in the interim would be to exercise precaution at every opportunity.

Computers and the world-wide web have tremendous value in education, but the value also depends on how these are used in numerous respects. As wired internet connections do not pose radiation risk, are readily available, are faster and more secure than WiFi, and are now even available for certain tablets, I highly recommend you factor the risks I have described into your technology planning. At the same time, I would urge you to take the complaints of your students very seriously, and potentially involve the school nurse and teachers in helping to assess the extent of the electrosensitivity problem among students at the school.

An excellent reference on the EMF and electrosensitivity science is "Electrosensitivity and Electrohypersensitivity—A Summary" (2013) authored by M.J. Bevington and available through Electrosensitivity-U.K. (www.es-uk.info/)

If I can be of further help, please do not hesitate to call.

Yours sincerely,



David O. Carpenter, M.D.
Director, Institute for Health and the Environment
University at Albany

Enclosures